



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/056,393	01/24/2002	Siva Venkatraman	42390P14044	2593
8791	7590 08/23/20	04	EXAM	INER
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			MATTHEW	AARON D
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2114	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ا المنافقة	Application No.	Applicant(a)				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/056,393	VENKATRAMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Aaron D Matthew	2114				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	oppears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a seply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A illing date of this communication, even in a communication is non-final. Y24/2004. his action is non-final.	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). f timely filed, may reduce any tters, prosecution as to the merits is				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a claim applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	rawn from consideration. or election requirement. iner. ccepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyalection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date. <u>08102004</u> . Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary 10/056,393		Application No.	Applicant(s)
All Participants: (1) Aeron D.Matthew (2) Alan Pedersen-Giles Atty.Regit: 39996. (4)	Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/056,393	VENKATRAMAN ET AL.
All Participants: (1) Aaron D Matthew. (2) Alan Pedersen-Giles Alty Regit: 39996. (4)	Examiner-induced interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit
(2) Alan Pedersen-Giles Atty Reg#: 39996. (4)		Aaron D Matthew	2114
Date of Interview: 10 August 2004 Time: 5:15	All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Bet</u>	fore 1 st office action
Date of Interview: 10 August 2004 Type of Interview: Telephonic Telephonic Telephonic Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: No No If Yes, provide a brief description: No No If Yes, provide a brief description: No If Yes, provide a brief description: No No If Yes, provide a No If Yes, provide	(1) <u>Aaron D Matthew</u> .	(3)	
Type of Interview: Telephonic Tydeo Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: none Claims discussed: 1-30 Prior art documents discussed: none Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Contacted Altorney regarding restriction requirement, (see office action). Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	(2) Alan Pedersen-Giles Atty.Reg#: 39996.	(4)	
Telephonic dideo Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)	Date of Interview: 10 August 2004	Time: <u>5:15</u>	
Rejection(s) discussed: none Claims discussed: 1-30 Prior art documents discussed: none Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Contacted Attorney regarding restriction requirement, (see office action). Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	 ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No 	nt's representative)	
Claims discussed: 1-30 Prior art documents discussed: none Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Contacted Attorney regarding restriction requirement, (see office action). Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part I.		
Prior art documents discussed: none Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Contacted Attorney regarding restriction requirement, (see office action). Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Contacted Attorney regarding restriction requirement, (see office action). Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Contacted Attorney regarding restriction requirement, (see office action). Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part II.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			S DISCUSSED:
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part III.		
	directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate results.	e examiner will provide a writto ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Annlicant's Penrecontative Si	anature – if annropriato)



Application/Control Number: 10/056,393 Page 2

Art Unit: 2114

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-28, drawn to method and apparatus for reconfigurable memory, classified in class 714, subclass 8.

II. Claims 29 and 30, drawn to a method of conserving power in an integrated circuit, classified in class 713, subclass 320.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as in conserving power in an integrated circuit that does not comprise a reconfigurable memory. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Rest Available Copy

Application/Control Number: 10/056,393 Page 3

Art Unit: 2114

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Alan Pedersen-Giles on 8/10/2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron D Matthew whose telephone number is (703) 605-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, from 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

Best Available Copy

Application/Control Number: 10/056,393 Page 4

Art Unit: 2114

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert W Beausoliel can be reached on (703) 305-9713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aaron D Matthew Examiner Art Unit 2114

ADM

ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Best Available Copy